ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Check for updates

Divergence, gene flow, and the origin of leapfrog geographic distributions: The history of colour pattern variation in *Phyllobates* poison-dart frogs

Roberto Márquez^{1,2} | Tyler P. Linderoth³ | Daniel Mejía-Vargas² | Rasmus Nielsen^{3,4,5} | Adolfo Amézquita² | Marcus R. Kronforst¹

¹Department of Ecology and Evolution, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA

²Department of Biological Sciences, Universidad de los Andes, Bogotá, Colombia

³Department of Integrative Biology and Museum of Vertebrate Zoology, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA

⁴Department of Statistics, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA

⁵Center for GeoGenetics, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

Correspondence

Roberto Márquez, Department of Ecology and Evolution, University of Chicago. 1101 East 57th St. Zoology 206. Chicago, IL. 60637. USA. Email: rmarquezp@uchicago.edu

Present address

Tyler P. Linderoth, Department of Genetics, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

Funding information

Pew Charitable Trusts; Alejandro Angel Escobar Foundation; Division of Environmental Biology, Grant/Award Number: DEB1655336; University of Chicago; Universidad de los Andes Vicechancellor of Research; Departamento Administrativo de Ciencia, Tecnología e Innovación

Abstract

The geographic distribution of phenotypic variation among closely related populations is a valuable source of information about the evolutionary processes that generate and maintain biodiversity. Leapfrog distributions, in which phenotypically similar populations are disjunctly distributed and separated by one or more phenotypically distinct populations, represent geographic replicates for the existence of a phenotype, and are therefore especially informative. These geographic patterns have mostly been studied from phylogenetic perspectives to understand how common ancestry and divergent evolution drive their formation. Other processes, such as gene flow between populations, have not received as much attention. Here, we investigate the roles of divergence and gene flow between populations in the origin and maintenance of a leapfrog distribution in Phyllobates poison frogs. We found evidence for high levels of gene flow between neighbouring populations but not over long distances, indicating that gene flow between populations exhibiting the central phenotype may have a homogenizing effect that maintains their similarity, and that introgression between 'leapfroging' taxa has not played a prominent role as a driver of phenotypic diversity in Phyllobates. Although phylogenetic analyses suggest that the leapfrog distribution was formed through independent evolution of the peripheral (i.e. leapfrogging) populations, the elevated levels of gene flow between geographically close populations poise alternative scenarios, such as the history of phenotypic change becoming decoupled from genome-averaged patterns of divergence, which we cannot rule out. These results highlight the importance of incorporating gene flow between populations into the study of geographic variation in phenotypes, both as a driver of phenotypic diversity and as a confounding factor of phylogeographic inferences.

KEYWORDS

convergent evolution, dendrobatidae, phylogeography, spatial population genetics

Amézquita and Kronforst are Joint senior authors.

1 | INTRODUCTION

Geography has a strong influence on the diversification of closely related lineages, since it largely mediates the level of gene flow between them (Huxley, 1942; Mayr, 1942). Therefore, studying the geographic distribution of phenotypic and genetic variation among such lineages can generate valuable insights into the processes that generate biological diversity. An intriguing pattern of geographic variation is the 'leapfrog' distribution, where phenotypically similar, closely related populations (of the same or recently diverged species) are disjunctly distributed and separated by phenotypically different populations to which they are also closely related (Chapman, 1923; Remsen, 1984). Such patterns have been reported in multiple taxa. such as birds (e.g. Cadena, Cheviron, & Funk, 2010; Chapman, 1923; Norman, Christidis, Joseph, Slikas, & Alpers, 2002; Remsen, 1984), flowering plants (Matsumura, Yokohama, Fukuda, & Maki, 2009; Matsumura, Yokoyama, Tateishi, & Maki, 2006) and butterflies (Brower, 1996; Emsley, 1965; Hovanitz, 1940; Sheppard, Turner, Brown, Benson, & Singer, 1985). Since leapfrog patterns represent repeated instances of similar phenotypes in space, they provide a rich opportunity to understand the processes generating geographic variation in phenotypes.

Two main hypotheses have been put forward to explain the origin of leapfrog distributions (Norman et al., 2002; Remsen, 1984): First, the phenotypically similar, geographically disjunct populations can owe their resemblance to recent common ancestry (i.e. they are recent descendants of an ancestral population with the same phenotype), and the disjunct range of 'leapfrogging' forms is due to biogeographic processes such as long-range migration or the extinction of geographically intermediate populations. Second, the distribution of phenotypes may be due to evolutionary convergence of populations with similar phenotypes, or divergence of the central (intervening) populations from the ancestral phenotype. Clear phylogenetic predictions can be drawn from these hypotheses: if phenotypic similarity among the leapfrogging populations is due solely to recent common ancestry, then such populations should be more closely related to one another than to geographically close populations that display the intervening phenotype. If the geographic distribution of phenotypes is due to convergent or divergent evolution, then a correspondence between phylogeny and phenotypes is not expected. In this case, however, ancestral state reconstructions can identify whether the central or peripheral populations exhibit derived (i.e. divergent) phenotypes. Accordingly, efforts to elucidate the evolutionary mechanisms behind leapfrog distributions have mainly focused on inferring the phylogenetic relationships among populations and using them to reconstruct the evolution of the phenotype in question (e.g. Brower, 1996; Cadena et al., 2010; Matsumura et al., 2009: Norman et al., 2002: Quek et al., 2010).

Although a cladogenetic description of population history can reveal a great deal about the origin of leapfrog distributions, it is unable to capture some important aspects of the diversification process. Among them is the extent of gene flow between populations (or its absence), which can play an important role in the formation of leapfrog distributions. For instance, reduced levels of genetic exchange between populations with different phenotypes will promote the existence of such differences, while introgressive hybridization between populations can facilitate phenotypic similarity between them. Furthermore, if gene flow between geographically close populations with different phenotypes is pervasive, it can homogenize previous genetic divergence between these populations, decoupling the history of the phenotype from genome-wide patterns of divergence (Hines et al., 2011; James, Arenas-Castro, Groh, Engelstaedter, & Ortiz-Barrientos, 2020), which can complicate inferences related to the origin of leapfrog distributions.

FIGURE 1 a) Colour pattern diversity, b) currently accepted phylogenetic relationships (Grant et al., 2017) and c) geographic distribution of *Phyllobates* poison frogs. Species distribution polygons were obtained from the IUCN red list of threatened species website (https://www.iucnredlist.org/) and modified to fit natural history collection records and our own observations [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary. com]





FIGURE 2 Genetic structure among *Phyllobates* populations in Western Colombia. a) Maximum likelihood mtDNA genealogy inferred from 1926bp. Posterior probabilities from MrBayes are annotated on internodes together with aBayes supports from PhyML. b) Sampling localities for this study. c) Principal component analysis plot based on the first three components accounting for 29.4% of the variance. d) Treemix population graph assuming 2 migration edges. e) Individual admixture proportions assuming 2–9 ancestral populations. Colours in a-d correspond to the operational taxonomic units (OTU) used for phylogenetic analyses. Colours in E were chosen to loosely represent these clusters [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

Here, we examine the processes driving the origin of a leapfrog distribution present in *Phyllobates* poison-dart frogs. This genus is found from Southern Nicaragua to Western Colombia and is composed of five nominal species (Myers, Daly, & Malkin, 1978; Silverstone, 1976): *P. vittatus*, *P. lugubris* and *P. aurotaenia*, which exhibit a bright dorsolateral stripe on a dark background, and *P. terribilis* and *P. bicolor*, which display solid bright-yellow dorsal coloration (Figure 1a). The two latter species exhibit a leapfrog distribution in Western Colombia, separated by *P. aurotaenia*: *P. bicolor* occurs on the slopes of the Western Andes, in the upper San Juan river basin, *P. aurotaenia* in the lowlands along the San Juan and Atrato Drainages and onto the Pacific coast, and *P. terribilis* along the Pacific coast south of the San Juan's mouth (Figure 1c).

Early systematic work grouped *P. terribilis* and *bicolor* as sister species based on morphological and ontogenetic characters (Maxson & Myers, 1985; Myers et al., 1978). Although an early mitochondrial phylogeny supported these relationships (Widmer, Lötters, & Jungfer, 2000), subsequent work has consistently recovered *P. terribilis* and *P. bicolor* as nonsister taxa (Grant et al., 2006, 2017; Márquez, Corredor, Galvis, Góez, & Amézquita, 2012; Santos et al., 2009), and even suggested that *P. aurotaenia* may actually represent two separate lineages, one sister to *P. bicolor* and the other to *P. terribilis* (Grant et al., 2017; Santos et al., 2009). Although these studies only included 1–4 samples per *Phyllobates* species and were based on DNA sequences from a small number of markers (1–7 loci), their results are compatible with convergent evolution giving rise to the leapfrog distribution.

In this study, we aim to shed light on the evolutionary genetic and biogeographic processes involved in the origin of the current geographic distribution of aposematic coloration in *Phyllobates* poison frogs. Based on substantially increased sampling across Colombian populations and thousands of genome-wide markers, we leverage phylogenetics and spatial population genetics to a) elucidate the extent of genetic structure and evolutionary relationships among populations, and b) evaluate the role of gene flow between populations in the formation of the leapfrog distribution.

MOLECULAR ECOLOGY -W

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

To obtain a representative sample of Colombian *Phyllobates* populations, we conducted field expeditions to 23 localities throughout the genus's range (Figure 2b), resulting in tissue (i.e. mouth swab, toe-clip or liver) samples from 108 individuals (Table S1). In addition, we obtained eight samples of *P. vittatus* and *P. lugubris*, (four samples per species; Table S1) to serve as out-groups in our analyses. Both species are distributed in Central America and have been consistently found to be the sister group of Colombian *Phyllobates* (Grant et al., 2006, 2017; Santos et al., 2009; Widmer et al., 2000).

2.1 | mtDNA Sequencing and analysis

To gain initial insight into the levels of genetic variation and structure among populations, we sequenced fragments of three mtDNA markers: 16S rRNA (16S; 569bp), Cytochrome Oxidase I (COI barcoding fragment; 658bp) and Cytochrome b (Cytb; 699bp) from 74 individuals. We extracted DNA using either Qiagen DNeasy spin columns or a salt precipitation protocol (Miller, Dykes, & Polesky, 1988), and used primers 16Sar and 16Sbr (Palumbi et al., 1991), Chmf4 and Chmr4 (Che et al., 2012), and CytbDen3-L and CytbDen1-H (Santos & Cannatella, 2011) to amplify the 16S, COI and Cytb loci, respectively. Thermal cycling protocols consisted of 2 min at 95°C, 30-35 cycles of 30s at 95°C, 1 min at 45°C and 1.5 min at 72°C, and a final 5 min at 72°C. PCR products were purified with ExoSAP (Affymetrix) and sequenced in both directions using an ABI 3500 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems). Chromatograms were assembled and visually inspected in Geneious R9 (Kearse et al., 2012) to produce finalized consensus sequences.

We aligned our sequences and those available in GenBank (Table S1) using MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004), and built mtDNA trees with PhyML 3.3 (Guindon et al., 2010) and MrBayes 3.2.6 (Altekar, Dwarkadas, Huelsenbeck, & Ronquist, 2004; Ronquist et al., 2012). MrBayes analyses consisted of 10 million iterations (two runs with four chains each), sampling every 1,000 iterations, and discarding the first 2,500 trees (25%) as burn-in. PhyML runs started from five different random trees and used SPR moves to search the tree space. Nodal support was evaluated using aBayes scores (Anisimova, Gil, Dufayard, Dessimoz, & Gascuel, 2011). To obtain an estimate of divergence times between mtDNA haplotypes, we inferred a time-calibrated tree using BEAST v. 2.5.0. (Bouckaert et al., 2019). Based on results from previous work (Santos et al., 2014), we set a log-normal prior with mean 8.13 million years (MY) and standard deviation 1.2 MY (i.e. log(mean) = 2.12, log(s.d.) = 0.1) for the root age of Phyllobates. We used a Calibrated Yule tree prior, and set default priors for all other parameters, except for the clock rate mean and the Yule birth rate, which were set to gamma(0.01, 1,000). We ran the MCMC sampler for 100 million iterations, sampling every 10,000, and generated a maximum clade credibility (MCC) tree using Tree Annotator (distributed with BEAST) after discarding the first 5% of trees as burn-in. Mixing and stationarity of BEAST and

I EV-

MrBayes runs were evaluated visually and based on effective sample sizes (ESS) using Tracer v. 1.5 (Rambaut & Drummond, 2009). All mtDNA analyses were performed under partitioning schemes and molecular evolution models chosen with PartitionFinder2 (Lanfear, Frandsen, Wright, Senfeld, & Calcott, 2017).

2.2 | Transcriptome-enabled exon capture

Based on the results of mtDNA analyses, we chose 63 samples (60 in-group and 3 out-group) from 17 localities (Table S1) representing the range of observed mtDNA variation among Colombian populations and used them to perform transcriptome-enabled exon capture (Bi et al., 2012; Hodges et al., 2007). Briefly, we designed a set of DNA capture probes based on a transcriptome assembly and used them to enrich sequencing libraries for a subset of the genome.

2.2.1 | Transcriptome sequencing

We generated a transcriptome assembly from liver, muscle, skin and heart tissue of a single *P. bicolor* juvenile (NCBI BioSample SAMN15546883). RNA was extracted using Qiagen RNeasy spin columns and pooled in equimolar ratios by tissue type to build a single cDNA library, which was sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2000. We filtered and trimmed reads using Trimmomatic v. 0.25 (Bolger, Lohse, & Usadel, 2014), and used Trinity (release 2013–02–25; Grabherr et al., 2011) to assemble them under default parameters, except for the minimum contig length, which was increased to 250bp. Finally, we collapsed redundant contigs (e.g. alternative isoforms) with CD-HIT-EST V.4.5.3 (Fu, Niu, Zhu, Wu, & Li, 2012).

2.2.2 | Enrichment probe design

We annotated our transcriptome using BLASTX (Altschul, 1997) against Xenopus tropicalis proteins (JGI 4.2.72) and used Exonerate (Slater & Birney, 2005) to identify intron-exon boundaries and split transcripts into individual exons. We then chose a final set of exons to enrich in the following way: First, we discarded those under 100bp, with GC content below 40% and above 70%, or which overlapped by more than 10bp based on Exonerate annotations. Next, we identified putatively repetitive elements and RNA-coding genes (e.g. rRNAs) in our transcriptome assembly with RepeatMasker v. 4.0 (Smith, Hubley, & Green, 2013) and BLASTn, respectively, and removed exons overlapping them. Finally, we blasted our exon set against itself with BLASTn under default parameters, and whenever two or more exons matched each other (e-value < 10^{-10}), we retained only one of them. This resulted in 38,888 exons (7.57Mb) that passed filters, which were used to design 1,943,120 100bp probes that were printed on two Agilent SureSelect custom 1M-feature microarrays (3bp tiling). The transcriptome assembly and probes are available in the Dryad repository associated to this paper.

2.2.3 | DNA library preparation, target enrichment and sequencing

We extracted DNA as described above and used a Diagenode Bioruptor to shear each extraction to a ~100-500bp fragment distribution by performing 3-4 rounds of sonication (7min of 30s on/ off cycles per round). DNA libraries were built following Meyer and Kircher (2010), except for bead cleanups, where we used a 1.6:1 ratio of beads to library (1.8:1 is recommended) to obtain a slightly larger final fragment size distribution. Finished libraries were combined in equimolar ratios into two 22.5 µg pools (one per array) for target enrichment. Array hybridization was performed largely following Hodges et al. (2009) with the following minor modification: Each library pool was mixed with xGen Universal P5 and P7 blocking oligonucleotides and a mixture of chicken, human and mouse COT-1 DNA. The two capture eluates were amplified separately by 18 cycles of PCR. To reduce the propagation of PCR-induced errors, each eluate was amplified in four parallel reactions. PCR products were pooled so that both captures were equally represented, and sequenced on Ilumina HiSeg 2,500 and 4,000 machines.

2.2.4 | Bioinformatic pipeline

De-multiplexed read files were filtered by collapsing PCR-duplicate reads with SuperDeduper (Petersen, Streett, Gerritsen, Hunter, & Settles, 2015), trimming low-quality bases and removing adapter contamination with Trimmonatic (Bolger et al., 2014) and Skewer (Jiang, Lei, Ding, & Zhu, 2014) under default parameters, except for the minimum read length, which was increased to 36 bp, and merging overlapping read pairs with FLASH (Magoč & Salzberg, 2011). To generate a reference for read mapping, we combined all cleaned reads from the in-group species (i.e. *P. terribilis, aurotaenia* and *bicolor*) and generated six de novo assemblies with different kmer sizes (k = 21, 31, 41, 51, 61 and 71) using ABySS (Simpson et al., 2009). We then merged the six assemblies using CD-HIT-EST and Cap3 (Huang & Madan, 1999). Finally, we identified contigs that matched our target exons using BLASTn and retained only these for further analyses.

Reads from each sample were mapped to the reference using Bowtie2 v. 2.1.0 (Langmead & Salzberg, 2012), and outputs were sorted with Samtools v. 1.0 (Li et al., 2009), de-duplicated with Picard v.1.8.4 (http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard) and re-aligned around indels with GATK v. 3.3.0 (McKenna et al., 2010). We filtered our data in the following ways: first, we performed a reciprocal blast using the methods described above and removed any contigs with more than one match (*e*-value < 10^{-10}). Second, we used ngsParalog (https://github.com/tplinderoth/ngsParalog) to identify contigs with variants stemming from read mismapping due to paralogy and/or incorrect assembly. This program uses allele frequencies to calculate a likelihood ratio for whether the reads covering a site are derived from more than one locus in the genome, while incorporating the uncertainty inherent in NGS genotyping. We calculated *p*-values for these likelihood ratios based on a 50:50 mixed chi-square distribution with one and zero degrees of freedom under the null, and removed any contigs with significantly paralogous sites after Bonferroni correction ($\alpha = 0.05$). Third, we restricted all analyses to contigs covered by at least one read in at least 20 individuals, bases with quality above 30 and read pairs mapping uniquely to the same contig (i.e. proper pairs) with mapping quality above 20. Finally, we removed samples with less than 2.5 million sites covered by at least one read after filtering. This resulted in a data set of 32,516 contigs (12.95 Mb) and 57 samples, which were used in all downstream analyses.

2.3 | Population structure

To characterize genome-wide patterns of population differentiation, we used our exon capture data set to perform principal component analysis (PCA) of genetic covariances calculated in PCangsd v.0.94 (Meisner & Albrechtsen, 2018), to estimate admixture proportions (k = 2-9) in ngsAdmix v.32 (Skotte, Korneliussen, & Albrechtsen, 2013), and to build a minimum-evolution tree in FastME v.2.1.5 (Lefort, Desper, & Gascuel, 2015) using genetic distances estimated with ngsDist (Vieira, Lassalle, Korneliussen, & Fumagalli, 2016). Nodal support for this tree was evaluated using 500 bootstrapped distance matrices produced in ngsDist by sampling blocks of 10 SNPs. These three analyses used genotype likelihoods (GL) as input, which were estimated in Angsd v.0.9.18 (Korneliussen, Albrechtsen, & Nielsen, 2014) at sites covered by at least one read in at least 50% of the samples without filtering for linkage disequilibrium. PCA and ngsAdmix analyses used one site per contig, randomly chosen among those with minor allele frequencies above 0.05 that passed the programs' internal quality filters (5,634 sites). Genetic distance estimation for the ME tree was restricted to variable sites (i.e. SNP p-value < .05; 84,218 sites). PCA and ngsAdmix were run only on Colombian samples while the ME tree also included out-groups.

Finally, we reconstructed a population graph to evaluate historical splits and mixtures between sampling localities using Treemix (Pickrell & Pritchard, 2012). We called genotypes using the HaplotypeCaller and GenotypeGVCFs tools of GATK v.3.3.0 under default parameters, except for the heterozygozity prior, minimum base quality and minimum variant-calling confidence, which were increased to 0.005, 30 and 20, respectively, to accommodate for the multi-species nature of our data set. We then obtained allele counts for biallelic SNPs that were at least 1kb apart within each contig (usually resulting in a single SNP per contig, since most contigs were under 1kb), and with at least 50% genotyping (20,275 SNPs), using Plink v.1.90 (Purcell et al., 2007). In two cases, two nearby populations of the same colour pattern (16.4 and 19.7 Km apart; Figure S1), which clustered closely in all other genetic structure analyses, were merged into single demes for allele count estimation due to small sample sizes. In addition, since we only had exon capture data for one P. vittatus individual, only P. lugubris was used as out-group in this analysis. We ran Treemix v.1.13 assuming m = 0-6 migration

3707

edges and chose the optimal number of migration edges by performing likelihood ratio tests in which we compared each value of *m* to the one immediately smaller. *p*-values were calculated based on a chi-square distribution with two degrees of freedom, since adding an extra edge adds two parameters (weight and direction of migration) to the model. This approach recovered m = 2 as the most likely scenario (Table S2); results for m = 0-6 are presented in Figure S2.

2.4 | Phylogenetic relationships between lineages

To reconstruct the phylogenetic relationships between Phyllobates lineages, we inferred a species tree under the multi-species coalescent model, assuming independent sites, as implemented in SNAPP (Bryant, Bouckaert, Felsenstein, Rosenberg, & RoyChoudhury, 2012). SNAPP requires individuals to be assigned to operational taxonomic units (OTUs) a priori. Given our small sample sizes for some localities, as well as the evidence of gene flow between localities (see Results section), we took an ad hoc approach and grouped our sampling localities into eight geographically and phenotypically coherent groups that showed evidence of being genetically distinct entities (see locality colours in Figure 2b). Briefly, each OTU contained individuals that were geographically close, displayed the same colour pattern and showed evidence of genetic clustering in population structure analyses. We did not require OTUs to be fully reproductively isolated from each other. Further details on our OTU selection criteria can be found in the online supplement.

For computational efficiency, SNAPP was run on a reduced version of the Treemix data set described above, restricted to SNPs genotyped for at least 75% of individuals and at least one member of each OTU (5,938 SNPs). We ran the MCMC sampler under default priors for 1,000,000 iterations, sampling every 250, and discarded the first 150,000 as burn-in. Stationarity and mixing were evaluated in Tracer (Rambaut & Drummond, 2009) as detailed above, and the posterior tree distribution was summarized as a maximum clade credibility (MCC) tree in TreeAnnotator. To obtain estimates of divergence times between OTUs, we assumed a mutation rate of $\mu = 1e^{-9}$ mutations per site per year (Crawford, 2003; Sun et al., 2015), and a generation time of one year (Phyllobates frogs are sexually mature at ~10-18 months after hatching; Myers et al., 1978 ; R. Márquez pers obs.), and converted branch lengths to time units as $T = (\tau g/\mu)$, where T is the divergence time in years, τ the branch length in coalescent units, g the generation time and μ the mutation rate (Bryant et al., 2012).

2.5 | Phylogenetic comparative analyses

In order to evaluate whether the central or leapfrogging populations exhibit a derived colour pattern, we performed ancestral state reconstruction along the SNAPP MCC tree using maximum parsimony (Fitch, 1971) in the R package *phangorn* (Schliep, 2011). Aposematic coloration has been shown to coevolve with several other traits, such as body size, toxicity and diet specialization in dendrobatid frogs (Pough & Taigen, 1990; Santos & Cannatella, 2011; Summers & Clough, 2001). Understanding correlations between these traits within Phyllobates can shed light on how predation pressures have affected the geographic distribution of colour patterns in this group. Therefore, we investigated the extent of correlated evolution between colour pattern, body size and toxicity. We used the snout-tovent length (SVL) as a proxy for body size and the average amount of batrachotoxin (BTX) in a frog's skin as a proxy for toxicity. BTX is the most abundant and toxic alkaloid found in Phyllobates skins (Märki & Witkop, 1963; Myers et al., 1978). BTX levels were obtained from Table 2 of Daly, Myers, and Whittaker (1987), and SVL was measured from specimens in natural history collections (193 specimens; Table S3). We used mean SVL values for each lineage in analyses, and log-transformed BTX levels to attain normality of residuals. Correlations between traits were evaluated using phylogenetic generalized least squares regression (pGLS; Grafen, 1989; Martins & Hansen, 1997) with either Brownian motion (Felsenstein, 1985), Lambda (Pagel, 1999) or Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (Martins & Hansen, 1997) correlation structures. The best correlation structure was chosen by performing pGLS with each structure and comparing model fit based on the AIC. Correlation structures were generated using the R package ape (Paradis, Claude, & Strimmer, 2004), and regressions were performed in the nlme package (Pinheiro, Bates, DebRoy, & Sarkar, 2017). In addition to the highest clade credibility tree, we also conducted tests of phylogenetic correlations on 1,000 randomly selected trees from the post-burn-in SNAPP posterior distribution to account for phylogenetic uncertainty.

2.6 | Spatial population genetics

We took a spatial population genetics approach to investigate the extent of divergence and gene flow between populations in a spatially explicit way, aimed at understanding the nature and drivers of genetic variation across the landscape. First, we generated a geo-genetic map of the Colombian Phyllobates populations using SpaceMix (Bradburd, Ralph, & Coop, 2016). This consists of a bidimensional plot where the distances between populations correspond to their expected geographic distances under stationary isolation by distance (IBD). Differences between geographic and geo-genetic locations therefore reflect historical rates of gene flow across the landscape. Populations that exchange more alleles than expected under stationary IBD are closer in geo-genetic than geographic space, and vice versa. For example, populations separated by a topographic barrier will be further apart in geo-genetic than geographic space. As input for SpaceMix, we used allele counts generated as detailed above (see Population Structure section), for sites that were variable among Colombian individuals (8,093 sites). We then parameterized the full ('source_and target') SpaceMix model with an MCMC run comprised of 10 initial exploratory chains (500,000 iterations each), followed by a 500,000,000 iteration 'long' run, which was sampled every 10,000 iterations. We used default prior settings, and centred spatial (i.e. location) priors for each population at **NII FY**–MOLECULAR ECOLOGY

their sampling location. For the two demes composed of individuals from nearby localities, we used the midpoint of the segment connecting both localities (Figure S1).

SpaceMix accounts for the fact that a fraction of a population's alleles may have been acquired through recent long-range migration from another region of the map by incorporating a long-range admixture proportion parameter, labelled w, which represents the probability that an allele in a given population migrated recently from a distant region. Since leapfrog distributions can, in principle, arise through introgressive hybridization between disjunct populations, we evaluated the support of our data for models with and without long-distance gene flow between populations (i.e. all w parameters set to zero versus. w allowed to vary). We did so by estimating the Bayes Factor (Kass & Raftery, 1995) between both models using the Savage–Dickey density ratio (Dickey & Lientz, 1970), which approximates the Bayes Factor between nested models. Further details on this estimator and our implementation for SpaceMix models can be found in the online supplement.

Next, we used EEMS (Petkova, Novembre, & Stephens, 2015) to identify areas of the landscape where gene flow between populations is especially prevalent or reduced. Briefly, this algorithm estimates the rate at which genetic similarity decays with distance (i.e. the effective migration). Regions where this decay is quick or slow can be interpreted as barriers or corridors of migration, respectively. We estimated mean squared genetic differences between samples using genotype likelihoods in ATLAS (Link et al., 2017), and used them as input for EEMS. We set the number of demes to 500 and averaged across 10 independent 10,000,000-step MCMC runs logged every 1,000 steps (20% burn-in). Since our genetic dissimilarity matrix was inferred from genotype likelihoods, specifying the number of SNPs used to compute the matrix (required by EEMS) was not straightforward. We used the number of sites with SNP *p*-value below .01, as calculated with Angsd (221,825 sites).

Finally, we assessed how attributes of the landscape influence genetic divergence between populations. Based on the results of EEMS and SpaceMix analyses, we evaluated the effect of three landscape features on genetic divergence: geographic distance, differences in elevation and the presence of the San Juan River as a potential corridor of gene flow. To do so, we used the multiple matrix regression with randomization (MMRR) approach proposed by Wang (2013), which is an extension of multiple linear regression for distance matrices.

Our regression model consisted of genetic distance as a response variable and geographic distance, difference in elevation, and the effect of the San Juan river as a dispersal corridor as explanatory variables. As a proxy for genetic distance, we used the linearized genome-wide weighted F_{ST} (F_{ST} /[$1-F_{ST}$]; Reynolds, Weir, & Cockerham, 1983; Weir & Cockerham, 1984), estimated using Angsd based on 2D-site frequency spectra (SFS). To maximize the number of sites used to estimate each SFS, we included contigs with data for less than 20 individuals (but that passed all other filters) in this analysis. We estimated geodesic distances among populations based on GPS coordinates taken in the field using the pointDistance() function of the raster R package (Hijmans, 2017), and calculated elevation

differences based on measurements taken in the field or extracted from Google Earth. To generate a proxy for the San Juan river as a dispersal corridor, we built a resistance layer where every pixel overlapping the San Juan river had a value of 1 and all others had a resistance value R, which made movement between two pixels along the San Juan R times more likely than between two pixels outside the river. We then used this layer to calculate least-cost distances between populations with the costDistance() function of the gdistance R package (Dijkstra, 1959; van Etten, 2017). Finally, we regressed the least-cost distance against the geodesic distance and saved the model residuals as a measure of the component of the resistance distance not explained by geographic distance. These residuals were used as an explanatory variable in our model. Since setting a biologically realistic value for R was not straightforward, we performed five separate MMRR analyses using least-cost distances estimated with R = 2, 10, 20, 50, and 100. The MMRR analysis was run using the script archived by Wang (2013; https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad. kt71r) with 10,000 permutations to estimate p-values.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Population structure among Colombian Phyllobates

As expected from a multi-species data set, we found multiple genetically structured clusters of individuals, which were largely concordant across analyses of the exon enrichment and mtDNA data sets (Figure 2, Figure S2-S3). However, these clusters align much more closely with geography than either coloration or the current taxonomy: All populations of P. terribilis grouped with the southern populations of P. aurotaenia, while the northeastern populations of P. aurotaenia clustered closely with the northern populations of P. bicolor. The southern P. bicolor and the P. aurotaenia populations east and west of the Baudó mountains also formed independent clusters, but their relationship to other lineages was less clear. Finally, two mtDNA sequences from captive-bred P. aurotaenia of unknown origin (sequenced by Grant et al. [2006] and Santos et al. [2009]) were sister to those from the southern populations of P. bicolor in our genealogy (Figure 2a). These results highlight the existence of several previously unrecognized (i.e. cryptic) lineages. Notably, they reveal the existence of three independent solid-yellow lineages, instead of two as previously thought, since the populations currently classified as P. bicolor clustered as two clearly separate and independent lineages. This points to an even greater discordance between coloration phenotypes and genetic similarity than previously thought.

3.2 | Phylogenetic relationships and divergence times

The inferred species tree was generally consistent with our genetic structure results, since tree topologies largely mirrored geography:

most OTUs were sister to close geographic neighbours, and higher level relationships followed a north-south axis (Figures 2 and 3). In addition, the three yellow lineages were recovered each as sister to a different striped lineage. The topology of the SNAPP tree was largely concordant with those obtained in mtDNA and Treemix analyses. We only found inconsistencies in the placement of the P. aurotaenia populations from the eastern and western flanks of the Serranía del Baudó: Mitochondrial haplotypes from these two populations were part of a closely related clade that also included all P. aurotaenia sequences from the Atrato river, and this clade was sister to another one containing sequences from the northern P. bicolor and the San Juan P. aurotaenia (Figure 2a). Treemix also recovered the eastern and western Baudó populations as sister taxa, but they were sister to the rest of the Colombian populations (Figure 2d and Figure S2). Finally, SNAPP recovered only the western Baudó P. aurotaenia as sister to all other Colombian populations, while the eastern Baudó P. aurotaenia was sister to the southern P. bicolor (Figure 3). Treemix inferred a migration edge from the base of the clade containing the populations of P. bicolor and P. aurotaenia from the San Juan and Atrato drainages into the eastern Baudó P. aurotaenia (Figure 2d). Since Treemix reconciles instances where a bifurcating tree model, such as the one used by SNAPP, does not fit the data well by incorporating migration edges between branches of the tree, this result suggests that these differences may be due to gene flow among populations.

Divergence time estimation based on the SNAPP tree revealed a Plio-Pleistocene diversification of *Phyllobates* and were generally concordant with previous estimates (Santos et al., 2009, 2014), indicating that our mutation rate and generation time assumptions are reasonable. The most recent common ancestor (MRCA) of *Phyllobates* was placed at 5.1 million years ago (MYA), with subsequent cladogenesis events from the late Pliocene to the Pleistocene (2.9–0.6 MYA; Figure 3). These divergence times were slightly older MOLECULAR ECOLOGY -

but within the 95% HPD intervals of those estimated from mtDNA sequences (Figure S4).

3.3 | Comparative analyses

Ancestral state reconstructions found the striped phenotype to be ancestral to solid-yellow (Figure 4a). Phylogenetic regressions revealed a strong relationship between colour pattern and size, with solid-yellow lineages being significantly larger than striped ones (Brownian Motion: $\beta = 11.95$, t = 9.92, df = 10, p = 9.03e-6; Figure 4a), but a much weaker relationship between coloration and toxicity (Ornstein-Uhlenbeck: $\beta = 1.19, t = 2.48, df = 5, p = .089;$ Figure 4b). These results, suggest that at least two coevolving traits (solid yellow coloration and larger size), possibly related to predator avoidance, are distributed in a leapfrog fashion in Phyllobates. Regressions performed over a set of posterior trees instead of the summary tree resulted in effect sizes and p-values centred around and qualitatively equivalent to those estimated using the summary tree, showing that the above conclusions are robust to the phylogenetic uncertainty present in our species tree reconstruction (Figure S5).

3.4 | Spatial population genetics

The effective migration surface estimated by EEMS revealed a corridor of migration that matches the course of the San Juan river to a remarkable degree, considering that this method is completely agnostic to the topography of the landscape (Figure 5a). This close match appears to lend strong support to a high historical migration rate along the San Juan. However, we note that this result should







FIGURE 4 Evolutionary patterns of body size, colour pattern, and toxicity in Phyllobates. a) Maximum clade credibility tree derived from the SNAPP posterior distribution and phylogenetic distribution of colour pattern and snout-to-vent length (SVL) values among lineages. Numbers on internodes represent clade posterior probabilities. b) Phylogenetic biplot depicting the relationship between mean SVL and mean batrachotoxin concentration. Grey boxes/points represent striped lineages while yellow ones represent solid-yellow lineages [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

be interpreted considering the sampling gap in the lower San Juan (See Figure 5a). This corridor connects most of the sampled P. aurotaenia populations and the northern P. bicolor, and could explain the discordance between mtDNA and exon capture data sets in the phylogenetic placement of P. aurotaenia populations from the Eastern and Western Baudó mountains. Concordantly, SpaceMix estimated geo-genetic locations of populations along the San Juan corridor that were much closer to one another than their actual geographic positions: P. aurotaenia populations from the upper San Juan and Atrato drainages and the northern P. bicolor converged to very close locations in the upper/mid San Juan, overlapping considerably. The Baudó (east and west) and southern populations of P. aurotaenia were also shifted towards this area, but to a lesser extent (Figure 5b).

In addition, EEMS estimated very low levels of migration in the area enclosing the two southern P. bicolor populations, suggesting the existence of barriers to gene flow around these populations. Interestingly, the geo-genetic location of these populations was inferred north of its geographic location, past the mid San Juan cluster, and only slightly overlapping with other populations (Figure 5b). The estimated long-distance admixture proportions were minimal for all populations (Figure 5c), and the model with these proportions fixed at 0 was overwhelmingly supported over the one where they were allowed to vary (Bayes factor = 1748).

In agreement with EEMS and SpaceMix results, the MMRR analysis found significant effects of geographic distance, elevation differences, and the San Juan as a migration corridor on genetic divergence between localities, regardless of the resistance values used. Although geographic distance was the strongest predictor, elevation differences and the San Juan as a barrier still had appreciable effects on genetic divergence (Table 1).

| DISCUSSION 4

Our main goal in this study was to understand the evolutionary and biogeographic processes that have shaped the leapfrog distribution of colour pattern among Phyllobates populations, focusing on the roles of genetic divergence and gene flow. We found patterns of genetic structure and phylogenetic affinity between populations that closely match geography, evidence for gene flow between geographically close populations, especially along the San Juan river, and evidence against gene flow between distant populations.

These results provide strong evidence against the hypothesis that introgression of colour pattern alleles between disjunct populations has played a role in generating the geographic distribution of this trait. Instead, they suggest an important role for short-range gene flow between neighbouring populations. The high level of migration among the central striped populations along the San Juan river suggests that allele movement between these populations may have a homogenizing effect that maintains their phenotypic similarity. In addition, we find evidence for a barrier to gene flow that encloses the two sampled populations of the southern P. bicolor lineage, probably associated with differences in elevation, which could be helping maintain the phenotypic distinctiveness of this population. Conversely, the northern P. bicolor populations showed a strong signature of gene flow with their neighbouring striped populations, suggesting that other forces, possibly selection, are maintaining the phenotypic differences between these populations in the face of recurrent gene flow. Nevertheless, to fully reject or accept these hypotheses, the history the alleles underlying colour pattern differences must be taken into account (Hines et al., 2011).

Our phylogenetic reconstructions are consistent with a scenario in which the disjunct solid-yellow populations evolved their colour



FIGURE 5 Gene flow among Phyllobates species across Western Colombia. a) Effective migration surface estimated using EEMS. Cyan and brown areas of the map are those where migration between demes is higher (cyan) or lower (brown) than expected under isolation by distance. Grey lines depict the population grid and habitat outline used by EEMS. b) Geo-genetic map inferred with SpaceMix. Ellipses represent the 95% Bayesian credible intervals around each population's location on the geo-genetic map, and coloured dots represent actual sampling locations. Arrows connect sampling and geo-genetic locations. c) Density histograms of the posterior distributions of the longrange admixture proportion parameters (w) from the SpaceMix model for each population. Bars, points and ellipses are coloured by OTU as in Figure 2. Histograms in Figure 5c are ordered by OTU [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

patterns independently. However, the high levels of gene flow between geographically proximal populations and the close correspondence between phylogeny and geography lead us to suspect that, at least to an extent, the recovered phylogenetic relationships could be a product of prevalent gene flow between neighbouring populations, and therefore may not reflect the history of colour pattern

	_
🗕 V — MOLECULAR ECOLOGY	-

3712

Results from the multiple matrix regression with randomization (MMRR) analyses performed with multiple different resistance values for the San Juan river as a corridor (see

Methods for details)

TABLE 1

	Resistance	e = 100		Resistance	e = 50		Resistanc	e = 20		Resistance	e = 10		Resistance	e = 2	
Predictor	Coef.	t	d	Coef.	t	d	Coef.	t	d	Coef.	t	d	Coef.	t	d
Intercept	0.0325	0.5286	1	0.0325	0.5298	1	0.0327	0.5343	1	0.0338	0.5427	1	0.0369	0.5953	1
Geodesic Dist.	0.6419	10.0547	<1e-4	0.6420	10.0618	.0001	0.6423	10.0918	<1e-4	0.6428	10.1470	< 1e-4	0.6483	10.0550	<1e-4
San Juan LC Dist.	0.2386	4.0094	.0137	0.2392	4.0241	.0138	0.2420	4.0890	.0118	0.2471	4.2051	.0118	0.2239	3.7483	.0126
Elevation Diff.	0.2886	4.5994	.0032	0.2877	4.5917	.0037	0.2852	4.5697	.0023	0.2802	4.5231	.0023	0.2271	3.6051	.0129
	$r^2 = .62, F$	= 48.13, <i>p</i> <	1e-4	$r^2 = .62, F$	= 48.21, <i>p</i> <	1e-4	$r^2 = .63, F$	t = 48.61, <i>p</i> <	1e-4	$r^2 = .63, F$	= 49.33, <i>p</i> <	1e-4	$r^2 = .62, F$	= 46.61, <i>p</i> <	1e-4
ote: p-values were e	stimated usi	ng 10.000 pe	ermutations.	Coef. = coe	efficient:										

Note: p-values were estimated using 10,000 permutations. Coef. = co

Abbreviations: Diff., difference; Dist., distance; LC, least cost.

evolution. A recent simulation study showed that even moderate levels of gene flow between geographic neighbours can confound phylogenetic inferences of convergent evolution (James et al., 2020). This scenario seems especially likely in the case of the northern populations of *P. bicolor*, given the signature of gene flow with their nearby striped populations (e.g. the San Juan and Atrato *P. aurotaenia*), but less so in the case of the southern *P. bicolor*, considering the strong barriers to gene flow inferred around the populations of this lineage. For *P. terribilis*, we cannot favour either scenario, since we did not find strong evidence for or against gene flow with its sister *P. aurotaenia* populations.

It is therefore plausible that convergent evolution and common ancestry have both played a role in the origin of this leapfrog distribution. Teasing apart these two scenarios is challenging if gene flow between neighbouring populations is prevalent, since high levels of genetic exchange between geographically close populations can erode existing differentiation between them, leading to patterns of genetic/phylogenetic affinity across the genome that mirror geography, regardless of their previous history. In the case of leapfrog distributions, this means that, in the face of persistent gene flow, peripheral populations will be closest to their phenotypically distinct neighbours, even if their phenotypic similarity stems from common ancestry. However, admixture between lineages is seldom uniform across the genome, since selection (see below) can restrict gene flow at certain genomic regions (Turner, Johnson, & Eanes, 1979; Turner, Hahn, & Nuzhdin, 2005; Wu, 2001). Such regions can therefore preserve historic signatures that have been erased by gene flow elsewhere in the genome. This is likely to be the case for loci underlying colour pattern variation in Phyllobates, especially in cases such as the Northern P. bicolor, where phenotypic differences persist in spite of gene flow. Hence, the history of alleles at these loci should provide unique insights into the history of this phenotype. Future studies to identify such loci and understand their evolutionary history in relation to our current results will be instrumental to uncover the demographic processes leading to the current geographic and phylogenetic distribution of solid-yellow colour pattern in Phyllobates, since they will allow for much more explicit tests of the hypotheses presented here.

Regardless of whether common ancestry or convergent evolution are at play in this system, it seems clear that differential selective pressures on the striped and solid-yellow populations have been involved in the origin and/or maintenance of the geographic distribution of colour patterns. Independent evolution of similar phenotypes is many times promoted by similar changes in selective regimes (Darwin, 1859; Mayr, 1963; Simpson, 1953), and selection is required to maintain phenotypic differences between populations in the face of gene flow (Endler, 1977). Two of the three solid-yellow lineages (the northern and southern *P. bicolor*) occur at higher elevations (~600–1500 m.a.s.l) than the rest of the genus (~0–500 m.a.s.l). It is therefore possible that these mid-elevation habitats pose selective pressures (e.g. predator communities or light environments) different from those of lowland forests, which favour solid-yellow patterns over striped ones. The many known examples of variation

MOLECULAR ECOLOGY - WII F

Tadpoles of all Phyl

in coloration across altitudinal gradients lend support to this idea (e.g. Köhler, Samietz, & Schielzeth, 2017; Rebelo & Siegfried, 1985; Reguera, Zamora-Camacho, & Moreno-Rueda, 2014; Richmond & Reeder, 2002; Rios & Álvarez-Castañeda, 2007). A similar situation could also be the case with *P. terribilis*, given its distribution at the southern edge of the genus's range, where it may also experience different selective pressures from those faced by its closely related striped lineages.

The nature of colour pattern variation in Phyllobates (i.e. solid versus striped) suggests that differential predation pressures may be important for the origin/maintenance of solid-yellow patterns. In aposematic species, advertisement signals with complex pattern elements, such as stripes, have been shown to serve a distance-dependent purpose, acting as conspicuous signals at short distances, while providing camouflage at long distances (Barnett & Cuthill, 2014; Barnett et al., 2017; Tullberg, Merilaita, & Wiklund, 2005). In contrast, bright, solid-coloured signals remain conspicuous over a much wider range of distances. For example, a recent study focusing on the poison frog Dendrobates tinctorius found that striped patterns of this species are highly detectable at close range, but become camouflaged when observed from further away. Solid-yellow patterns, on the other hand, remained easily detectable over the whole range of distances tested (Barnett, Michalis, Scott-Samuel, & Cuthill, 2018). Therefore, it is likely that the striped and solid colour patterns represent alternative aposematic strategies that are advantageous under different environments and/or predator communities.

The fact that we find a signature of correlated evolution between size and colour pattern is compatible with this idea, since larger aposematic signals have been shown to be more detectable and memorable for predators (Forsman & Merilaita, 1999; Gamberale & Tullberg, 1996). Accordingly, size and conspicuousness are positively correlated among Dendrobatid poison frog species (Hagman & Forsman, 2003; Santos & Cannatella, 2011). However, we do not find a comparable pattern for toxicity, which has also been shown to covary with conspicuousness in poison frogs (Santos & Cannatella, 2011; Summers & Clough, 2001). This could be an artefact of low statistical power, since data are available only for one striped and two plain yellow Colombian lineages, but we cannot rule out the possibility that toxicity is indeed comparable between solid and striped populations. Furthermore, considering that aposematism relies on avoidance learning, it is possible that, despite similar levels of BTX, solid and striped populations differ in levels of palatability to predators. In any case, a scenario where solid and striped populations are similarly toxic and/or palatable is still compatible with predation pressures driving evolutionary convergence, since all species are considerably toxic (Daly et al., 1987; Myers et al., 1978). However, other explanations, such as geographic variation in mate preference (Reynolds & Fitzpatrick, 2007; Summers, Symula, Clough, & Cronin, 1999; Twomey, Vestergaard, & Summers, 2014; Yang, Richards-Zawacki, Devar, & Dugas, 2016), could also explain our results and cannot be ruled out.

It is worth noting, however, that the correlated evolution of body size and colour pattern could also be due to ontogenetic integration (Olson & Miller, 1958). Tadpoles of all *Phyllobates* species are dark grey, and all develop a dorsolateral stripe shortly before metamorphosis, which remains unchanged until adulthood in striped lineages. Solid-yellow frogs, on the other hand, gradually lose dark pigmentation, until the solid adult pattern is attained a few months after metamorphosis (Myers et al., 1978). Therefore, it is possible that, for example, the evolution of an extended growth period could generate changes in both body size and colour pattern. If this is the case, then the concerted evolution of advertisement signal and body size would not necessarily be evidence of striped and solid patterns representing alternative predator avoidance strategies.

Our divergence time estimates indicate that the diversification of Phyllobates has followed the Plio-Pleistocene history of the Central American and the Chocó bioregions. The first cladogenesis event in our tree, which divides the Central American and Chocoan taxa, was inferred between 4.5 and 5.9 MYA, which coincides with previously identified increases in faunal migration between Central and South America at ~6 MYA (Bacon et al., 2015; Santos et al., 2009). Further branching within South American lineages occurred later than 3 MYA, after both the Atrato (Dugue-Caro, 1990a, 1990b) and Tumaco (Borrero et al., 2012) basins emerged above sea level to form the current Chocoan landscape. The Pleistocene was characterized by recurrent climatic and environmental fluctuations, which have been proposed as major drivers of neotropical rainforest biodiversity (Baker et al., 2020; Haffer, 1969; Hooghiemstra & Van Der Hammen, 1998; Vanzolini & Williams, 1970). Although the central Chocó has traditionally been regarded as a relatively stable Pleistocene forest refuge throughout the Quaternary (Gentry, 1982; Haffer, 1967; Hooghiemstra & Van Der Hammen, 1998), a notion supported by multiple palynological studies (Behling, Hooghiemstra, & Negret, 1998; Berrío, Behling, & Hooghiemstra, 2000; González, Urrego, & Martínez, 2006; Jaramillo & Bayona, 2000; Ramírez & Urrego, 2002; Urrego, Molina, Urrego, & Ramírez, 2006), there is some evidence of fluctuations in sea level, temperature, fluvial discharge and, to a lesser extent, precipitation throughout the Quaternary in this region (González et al., 2006; Urrego et al., 2006). Despite being less dramatic than those experienced by other tropical forests (e.g. Amazonia), these fluctuations appear to have been related to changes in vegetation, especially the extent of mangrove forests (González et al., 2006). This may have promoted periodic retractions of Phyllobates populations towards the San Juan, perhaps resulting in increased rates of gene flow among them. Future work to understand how climatic fluctuations over the Quaternary have shaped the distribution of suitable habitat for Phyllobates frogs should shed further light on the biogeographic history of this genus in Northern South America.

Finally, our findings have broad implications for the systematics of *Phyllobates*. First and foremost, this study provides definitive evidence that the populations currently grouped under *P. aurotaenia* represent multiple independently evolving lineages, some of which have probably been reproductively isolated for enough time to warrant recognition as separate species. Furthermore, we find that *P. bicolor* is comprised of two well-structured lineages that WII FY-MOLECULAR ECOLOGY

may have evolved similar phenotypes independently. In addition, we find highly variable levels of mtDNA divergence within *P. lugubris* (0%–4% 16S, 0.2%–8% COI and 0%–5.7% Cytb uncorrected p-distances), which could also be due to the existence of cryptic species.

It is therefore evident that a thorough revision of Phyllobates systematics is warranted. At this point, however, we refrain from modifying the group's current taxonomy for several reasons. First, the complex interplay between divergence and gene flow that we have found in this system makes species delimitation based on genetic structure and coloration impractical. Therefore, integrating multiple lines of evidence (e.g. coloration, genetic variation, alkaloid profiles, bioacoustic data, larval and adult morphology) that allow us to draw stronger conclusions on the strength of reproductive barriers between lineages is needed to disentangle species limits. Second, although our study represents a substantial increase in geographic sampling, there are still considerable gaps, such as the lower San Juan drainage, or the mid-elevation forests south of the distribution of P. bicolor, that need to be considered. The fact that the two captive-bred P. aurotaenia included in mtDNA analyses are sister to the southern P. bicolor (Figure 2a) suggests that we have not yet sampled the full diversity of Phyllobates lineages in Colombia. Finally, the holotype of P. aurotaenia was collected in Condoto, Chocó, which is considerably distant from any of our sampling localities (Figure S6), and the type locality of P. bicolor is unknown (Myers et al., 1978). This situation poses nomenclature issues, since, even if a robust species delimitation were available, naming these species would not be straightforward until the type specimens of P. bicolor and P. aurotaenia can be confidently assigned to one of them. Further work with increased sampling, including type specimens, and integrating multiple lines of evidence is therefore still needed to generate a taxonomy for Phyllobates that more accurately represents the genus's evolutionary history.

5 | CONCLUDING REMARKS

Leapfrog distributions constitute geographic replicates for the occurrence of a phenotype and therefore provide important information about the origins of phenotypic diversity among closely related lineages. Here, we show that, despite marked genetic structure and differentiation, there is considerable gene flow between phenotypically similar populations at the centre of a poison-dart frog leapfrog distribution. This has probably been important for the origin and maintenance of the geographic distribution of colour patterns in this group. Furthermore, we found instances of both reduced and increased levels of gene flow between neighbouring populations with different phenotypes, suggesting that in some cases reduced gene exchange can contribute to the maintenance of phenotypic differences between populations in a leapfrog distribution, while in others these differences actually persist in the face of gene flow, probably due to local adaptation of different forms.

However, we are unable to answer a commonly addressed guestion about leapfrog distributions: whether phenotypic differences between populations stem from common ancestry or independent evolution. Even though our phylogenetic reconstructions unambiguously suggest the latter on their own, our finding of extensive gene flow among neighbouring populations casts doubt on this conclusion. Several other studies on the history of leapfrog distributions have obtained similar phylogenies that align with geography instead of phenotypic similarity (Cadena et al., 2010; Garcia-Moreno & Fjeldså, 1999; Norman et al., 2002; Quek et al., 2010; Toon, Austin, Dolman, Pedler, & Joseph, 2012), leading to the view that leapfrog distributions are often due to independent evolution. Our results, however, add to the notion that processes such as pervasive gene flow (Hines et al., 2011; James et al., 2020) or incomplete lineage sorting (Avise & Robinson, 2008) can decouple the history of phenotypic change at a given trait from genome-wide patterns of divergence, possibly leading to erroneous inferences of convergent evolution (Hahn & Nakhleh, 2015).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Pablo Palacios-Rodríguez, José Alfredo Hernández, Carolina Esquivel, Diana Galindo, Mabel González and Fernando Vargas-Salinas for assistance in the field, Lydia Smith, Valeria Ramírez-Castañeda, Alvaro Hernández, and Ke Bi for help with molecular and bioinformatic procedures, Andrea Paz for advice on MMRR analyses, and Alan Resetar (FMNH), Andrew Crawford and Alberto Farfán (ANDES), Rayna Bell and Addison Wynn (USNM), Andrés Acosta and Carlos Montaña (IAvH), John Taylor Rengifo (UTCh), Greg Schneider (UMMZ), and David Kizirian (AMNH) for facilitating access to preserved specimens. Comments and suggestions from Trevor Price, John Novembre, Valentina Gómez-Bahamon, John Bates, Daniel Matute, Catalina Gonzalez, the Bates/Hackett laboratory, the Kronforst laboratory and four anonymous reviewers greatly improved this paper. We sincerely thank Lina M. Arenas for allowing us to use her beautiful frog illustrations for our figures. This work was funded by a Basic Sciences Grant from the Vice Chancellor of Research at Universidad de los Andes, a Colombia Biodiversa Scholarship from the Alejandro Angel Escobar foundation, a Pew Biomedical Scholarship, Neubauer Family funds and a Steiner Award from the University of Chicago, and NSF grant DEB-1655336. RM was partially supported by a Fellowship for Young Researchers and Innovators (Otto de Greiff) from COLCIENCIAS. Computations were performed on the University of Chicago's Gardner HPC cluster, funded by NIH grant TR000430. Tissue collections were authorized by permits No. 2194 and 1380 from the Colombian Ministry of Environment and Authority for Environmental Licenses (ANLA).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

The project was conceived by R.M., A.A. and M.R.K. The research was designed by R.M., T.P.L, R.N., M.R.K and A.A. Funding was acquired by R.M., A.A., R.N. and M.R.K. Samples were collected by A.A., D.M-V. and R.M. The data were generated by R.M. and T.P.L.

The data were analysed and the paper was written by R.M. with input from M.K. and edits from all authors.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

mtDNA sequences were uploaded to GenBank under accessions MT742690-MT742754, MT749179-MT749246 and MT808222-MT808283. Raw Illumina reads were uploaded to the NCBI SRA under BioProject ID PRJNA645960. Input files and the code used for analyses are available at https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.8d4r3vd or as supplementary material.

ORCID

Roberto Márquez D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0644-3078

REFERENCES

- Altekar, G., Dwarkadas, S., Huelsenbeck, J. P., & Ronquist, F. (2004). Parallel Metropolis coupled Markov chain Monte Carlo for Bayesian phylogenetic inference. *Bioinformatics*, 20(3), 407–415. https://doi. org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btg427
- Altschul, S. (1997). Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: A new generation of protein database search programs. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 25(17), 3389–3402. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/25.17.3389
- Anisimova, M., Gil, M., Dufayard, J. F., Dessimoz, C., & Gascuel, O. (2011). Survey of branch support methods demonstrates accuracy, power, and robustness of fast likelihood-based approximation schemes. *Systematic Biology*, 60(5), 685–699. https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/ syr041
- Avise, J. C., & Robinson, T. J. (2008). Hemiplasy: A new term in the lexicon of phylogenetics. Systematic Biology, 57(3), 503–507. https://doi. org/10.1080/10635150802164587
- Bacon, C. D., Silvestro, D., Jaramillo, C., Smith, B. T., Chakrabarty, P., & Antonelli, A. (2015). Biological evidence supports an early and complex emergence of the Isthmus of Panama. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 112(19), 6110– 6115. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1423853112
- Baker, P. A., Fritz, S. C., Battisti, D. S., Dick, C. W., Vargas, O. M., Asner, G. P., ... Prates, I. (2020). Beyond Refugia: New insights on Quaternary climate variation and the evolution of biotic diversity in tropical South America. In V. Rull, & A. C. Carnaval (Eds.), *Neotropical Diversification: Patterns and Processes*. Berlin: Springer. https://doi. org/10.1007/978-3-030-31167-4
- Barnett, J. B., & Cuthill, I. C. (2014). Distance-dependent defensive coloration. Current Biology, 24(24), R1157–R1158. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.cub.2014.11.015
- Barnett, J. B., Michalis, C., Scott-Samuel, N. E., & Cuthill, I. C. (2018). Distance-dependent defensive coloration in the poison frog Dendrobates tinctorius, Dendrobatidae. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 115(25), 6416– 6421. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1800826115
- Barnett, J. B., Redfern, A. S., Bhattacharyya-Dickson, R., Clifton, O., Courty, T., Ho, T., ... Cuthill, I. C. (2017). Stripes for warning and stripes for hiding: Spatial frequency and detection distance. *Behavioral Ecology*, 28(2), 373–381. https://doi.org/10.1093/behec o/arw168
- Behling, H., Hooghiemstra, H., & Negret, A. J. (1998). Holocene history of the Chocó rain forest from Laguna Piusbi, southern Pacific lowlands of Colombia. *Quaternary Research*, 50(3), 300–308. https://doi. org/10.1006/qres.1998.1998
- Berrío, J. C., Behling, H., & Hooghiemstra, H. (2000). Tropical rain-forest history from the Colombian Pacific area: A 4200-year pollen

record from Laguna Jotaordó. *Holocene*, 10(6), 749–756. https://doi. org/10.1191/09596830094999

- Bi, K., Vanderpool, D., Singhal, S., Linderoth, T., Moritz, C., & Good, J. M. (2012). Transcriptome-based exon capture enables highly cost-effective comparative genomic data collection at moderate evolutionary scales. *BMC Genomics*, 13(1), 403. https://doi. org/10.1186/1471-2164-13-403
- Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M., & Usadel, B. (2014). Trimmomatic: A flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics*, 30(15), 2114– 2120. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170
- Borrero, C., Pardo, A., Jaramillo, C. M., Osorio, J. A., Cardona, A., Flores, A., ... Castillo, H. (2012). Tectonostratigraphy of the Cenozoic Tumaco forearc basin (Colombian Pacific) and its relationship with the northern Andes orogenic build up. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences*, 39, 75–92. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsames.2012.04.004
- Bouckaert, R., Vaughan, T. G., Barido-Sottani, J., Duchêne, S., Fourment, M., Gavryushkina, A., ... Drummond, A. J. (2019). BEAST 2.5: An advanced software platform for Bayesian evolutionary analysis. *PLoS Computational Biology*, 15(4), e1006650. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pcbi.1006650
- Bradburd, G. S., Ralph, P. L., & Coop, G. M. (2016). A spatial framework for understanding population structure and admixture. *PLoS Genetics*, 12(1), 1–38. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1005703
- Brower, A. V. Z. (1996). Parallel race formation and the evolution of mimicry in Heliconius butterflies: A phylogenetic hypothesis from mitochondrial DNA sequences. *Evolution*, 50(1), 195–221. https://doi. org/10.2307/2410794
- Bryant, D., Bouckaert, R., Felsenstein, J., Rosenberg, N. A., & RoyChoudhury, A. (2012). Inferring species trees directly from biallelic genetic markers: Bypassing gene trees in a full coalescent analysis. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 29(8), 1917–1932. https://doi. org/10.1093/molbev/mss086
- Cadena, C. D., Cheviron, Z. A., & Funk, W. C. (2010). Testing the molecular and evolutionary causes of a 'leapfrog' pattern of geographical variation in coloration. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 24(2), 402– 414. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1420-9101.2010.02175.x
- Chapman, F. M. (1923). Mutation among birds on the genus Buarremon. Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History, 48, 243–278.
- Che, J., Chen, H.-M., Yang, J.-X., Jin, J.-Q., Jiang, K. E., Yuan, Z.-Y., ... Zhang, Y.-P. (2012). Universal COI primers for DNA barcoding amphibians. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 12(2), 247–258. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1755-0998.2011.03090.x
- Crawford, A. J. (2003). Relative rates of nucleotide substitution in frogs. Journal of Molecular Evolution, 57(6), 636–641. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00239-003-2513-7
- Daly, J. W., Myers, C. W., & Whittaker, N. (1987). Further classification of skin alkaloids from neotropical poison frogs (Dendrobatidae), with a general survey of toxic/noxious substances in the Amphibia. *Toxicon*, 25(10), 1023–1095. https://doi.org/10.1016/0041-0101(87)90265-0
- Darwin, C. R. (1859). On the origin of species, by means of natural selection; or, The preservation of favoured races in the struggle for life. London: John Murray.
- Dickey, J. M., & Lientz, B. P. (1970). The weighted likelihood ratio, sharp hypotheses about chances, the order of a Markov chain. *The Annals* of Mathematical Statistics, 41(1), 214–226. https://doi.org/10.1214/ aoms/1177697203
- Dijkstra, E. W. (1959). A note on two problems in connexion with graphs. Numerische Mathematik, 1(1), 269–271. https://doi.org/10.1007/ BF01386390
- Duque-Caro, J. H. (1990a). Neogene stratigraphy, paleoceanography and paleobiogeography in northwest South America and the evolution of the Panama seaway. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology,* 77(3-4), 203-234. https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-0182(90)90178-A

WII FY-MOLECULAR ECOLOGY

- Duque-Caro, J. H. (1990b). The Chocó block in the northwestern corner of South America: Structural, tectonostratigraphic, and paleogeographic implications. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences*, 3(1), 71–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/0895-9811(90)90019-W
- Edgar, R. C. (2004). MUSCLE: Multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 32(5), 1792–1797. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkh340
- Emsley, M. G. (1965). The geographical distribution of the color-pattern components of Heloconius erato and Heliconius melpomene with genetical evidence for the systematic relationship between the two species. *Zoologica*, 49(15), 245–286.
- Endler, J. A. (1977). *Geographic Variation, Speciation, and Clines. Monographs In Population Biology.* Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Fitch, W. M. (1971). Toward defining the course of evolution: Minimum change for a specific tree topology. *Systematic Zoology*, 20(4), 406. https://doi.org/10.2307/2412116
- Forsman, A., & Merilaita, S. (1999). Fearful symmetry: Pattern size and asymmetry affects aposematic signal efficacy. Evolutionary Ecology, 13(2), 131–140. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1006630911975
- Fu, L., Niu, B., Zhu, Z., Wu, S., & Li, W. (2012). CD-HIT: Accelerated for clustering the next-generation sequencing data. *Bioinformatics*, 28(23), 3150–3152. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts565
- Gamberale, G., & Tullberg, B. S. (1996). Evidence for a peak-shift in predator generalization among aposematic prey. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 263(1375), 1329–1334. https://doi. org/10.1098/rspb.1996.0195
- Garcia-Moreno, J., & Fjeldså, J. (1999). Re-evaluation of species limits in the genus Atlapetes based on mtDNA sequence data. *Ibis*, 141(2), 199-207. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1474-919X.1999.tb07542.x
- Gentry, A. H. (1982). Phytogeographic patterns as evidence for a Chocó refuge. In G. T. Prance (Ed.), *Biological Diversification in the Tropics* (pp. 112–136). New York: Plenum Press.
- González, C., Urrego, L. E., & Martínez, J. I. (2006). Late Quaternary vegetation and climate change in the Panama Basin: Palynological evidence from marine cores ODP 677B and TR 163-38. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 234*(1), 62-80. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2005.10.019
- Grabherr, M. G., Haas, B. J., Yassour, M., Levin, J. Z., Thompson, D. A., Amit, I., ... Regev, A. (2011). Full-length transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data without a reference genome. *Nature Biotechnology*, 29(7), 644–652. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.1883
- Grafen, A. (1989). The phylogenetic regression. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 326(1233), 119–157. https:// doi.org/10.1098/rstb.1989.0106
- Grant, T., Frost, D. R., Caldwell, J. P., Gagliardo, R., Haddad, C. F. B., Kok, P. J. R., ... Wheeler, W. C. (2006). Phylogenetic systematics of dart-poison frogs and their relatives (Amphibia: Athesphatanura: Dendrobatidae). Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History, 299, 1–262. https://doi.org/10.1206/0003-0090(2006)299[1:P-SODFA]2.0.CO;2
- Grant, T., Rada, M., Anganoy-Criollo, M., Batista, A., Dias, P. H., Jeckel, A. M., ... Rueda-Almonacid, J. V. (2017). Phylogenetic systematics of Dart-Poison frogs and their relatives revisited (Anura: Dendrobatoidea). South American Journal of Herpetology, 12(s1), S1– S90. https://doi.org/10.2994/SAJH-D-17-00017.1
- Guindon, S., Dufayard, J. F., Lefort, V., Anisimova, M., Hordijk, W., & Gascuel, O. (2010). New algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: Assessing the performance of PhyML 3.0. Systematic Biology, 59(3), 307–321. https://doi.org/10.1093/ sysbio/syq010
- Haffer, J. (1967). Speciation in Colombian forest birds west of the Andes. American Museum Novitates, 2294, 1–57.
- Haffer, J. (1969). Speciation in amazonian forest birds. *Science*, 165(3889), 131–137. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.165.3889.131

- Hagman, M., & Forsman, A. (2003). Correlated evolution of conspicuous coloration and body size in poison frogs (Dendrobatidae). *Evolution*, 57(12), 2904–2910. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0014-3820.2003. tb01531.x
- Hahn, M. W., & Nakhleh, L. (2015). Irrational exuberance for resolved species trees. Evolution, 70(1), 7–17. https://doi.org/10.1111/ evo.12832
- Hijmans, R. J. (2017). raster: Geographic Data Analysis and Modeling. R Package Version 2.6-7.
- Hines, H. M., Counterman, B. A., Papa, R., Albuquerque de Moura, P., Cardoso, M. Z., Linares, M., ... McMillan, W. O. (2011). Wing patterning gene redefines the mimetic history of Heliconius butterflies. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 108(49), 19666–19671
- Hodges, E., Rooks, M., Xuan, Z., Bhattacharjee, A., Benjamin Gordon, D., Brizuela, L., ... Hannon, G. J. (2009). Hybrid selection of discrete genomic intervals on custom-designed microarrays for massively parallel sequencing. *Nature Protocols*, 4(6), 960–974. https://doi. org/10.1038/nprot.2009.68
- Hodges, E., Xuan, Z., Balija, V., Kramer, M., Molla, M. N., Smith, S. W., ... McCombie, W. R. (2007). Genome-wide in situ exon capture for selective resequencing. *Nature Genetics*, 39(12), 1522–1527. https:// doi.org/10.1038/ng.2007.42
- Hooghiemstra, H., & Van Der Hammen, T. (1998). Neogene and Quaternary development of the neotropical rain forest: The forest refugia hypothesis, and a literature overview. *Earth Science Reviews*, 44(3-4), 147-183. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-8252(98)00027 -0
- Hovanitz, W. (1940). Ecological color variation in a butterfly and the problem of "protective coloration". *Ecology*, 21(3), 371–380. https:// doi.org/10.2307/1930846
- Huang, X. Q., & Madan, A. (1999). CAP3: A DNA sequence assembly program. Genome Research, 9(9), 868–877. https://doi.org/10.1101/ gr.9.9.868
- Huxley, J. S. (1942). Evolution The Modern Synthesis. New York City: Harper and Brothers.
- James, M. E., Arenas-Castro, H., Groh, J. S., Engelstaedter, J., & Ortiz-Barrientos, D. (2020). Highly replicated evolution of parapatric ecotypes. BioRxiv, 2020.02.05.936401. https://doi. org/10.1101/2020.02.05.936401.
- Jaramillo, C., & Bayona, G. (2000). Mangrove distribution during the Holocene in Tribugá Gulf. Colombia. *Biotropica*, 32(1), 14. https://doi. org/10.1646/0006-3606(2000)032[0014:mddthi]2.0.co;2
- Jiang, H., Lei, R., Ding, S. W., & Zhu, S. (2014). Skewer: A fast and accurate adapter trimmer for next-generation sequencing paired-end reads. BMC Bioinformatics, 15(1), 1–12. https://doi. org/10.1186/1471-2105-15-182
- Kass, R. E., & Raftery, A. E. (1995). Bayes factors. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 90(430), 773–795. https://doi. org/10.1080/01621459.1995.10476572
- Kearse, M., Moir, R., Wilson, A., Stones-Havas, S., Cheung, M., Sturrock, S., ... Drummond, A. (2012). Geneious Basic: An integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. *Bioinformatics*, 28(12), 1647–1649. https://doi. org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts199
- Köhler, G., Samietz, J., & Schielzeth, H. (2017). Morphological and colour morph clines along an altitudinal gradient in the meadow grasshopper Pseudochorthippus parallelus. *PLoS One*, *12*(12), 1–13. https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0189815
- Korneliussen, T. S., Albrechtsen, A., & Nielsen, R. (2014). ANGSD: Analysis of next generation sequencing data. BMC Bioinformatics, 15(1), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-014-0356-4
- Lanfear, R., Frandsen, P. B., Wright, A. M., Senfeld, T., & Calcott, B. (2017). Partitionfinder 2: New methods for selecting partitioned models of evolution for molecular and morphological phylogenetic

analyses. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 34(3), 772–773. https://doi. org/10.1093/molbev/msw260

- Langmead, B., & Salzberg, S. L. (2012). Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nature Methods, 9(4), 357–359. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nmeth.1923
- Lefort, V., Desper, R., & Gascuel, O. (2015). FastME 2.0: A comprehensive, accurate, and fast distance-based phylogeny inference program. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 32(10), 2798–2800. https:// doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msv150
- Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., ... Durbin, R. (2009). The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics*, 25(16), 2078–2079. https://doi. org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352
- Link, V., Kousathanas, A., Veeramah, K., Sell, C., Scheu, A., & Wegmann, D. (2017). ATLAS: Analysis Tools for Low-depth and Ancient Samples. *BioRxiv*, 105346, https://doi.org/10.1101/105346
- Magoč, T., & Salzberg, S. L. (2011). FLASH: Fast length adjustment of short reads to improve genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics*, 27(21), 2957–2963. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr507
- Märki, F., & Witkop, B. (1963). The venom of the Colombian arrow poison frog Phyllobates bicolor. *Experientia*, 19(8), 329–338. https://doi. org/10.1007/bf02152303
- Márquez, R., Corredor, G., Galvis, C., Góez, D., & Amézquita, A. (2012). Range extension of the critically endangered true poison-dart frog, Phyllobates terribilis (Anura: Dendrobatidae), in western Colombia. Acta Herpetologica, 7(2), 341–345. https://doi.org/10.13128/Acta_ Herpetol-11387
- Martins, E. P., & Hansen, T. F. (1997). Phylogenies and the comparative method: A general approach to incorporating phylogenetic information into the analysis of interspecific data. *The American Naturalist*, 149(4), 646-667. https://doi.org/10.1086/286013
- Matsumura, S., Yokohama, J., Fukuda, T., & Maki, M. (2009). Origin of the disjunct distribution of flower colour polymorphism within Limonium wrightii (Plumbaginaceae) in the Ryukyu Archipelago. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 97(4), 709–717. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1095-8312.2009.01253.x
- Matsumura, S., Yokoyama, J., Tateishi, Y., & Maki, M. (2006). Intraspecific variation of flower colour and its distribution within a sea lavender, Limonium wrightii (Plumbaginaceae), in the northwestern Pacific Islands. Journal of Plant Research, 119(6), 625–632. https://doi. org/10.1007/s10265-006-0022-7
- Maxson, L. R., & Myers, C. W. (1985). Albumin evolution in tropical poison frogs (Dendrobatidae): A preliminary report. *Biotropica*, 17(1), 50–56. https://doi.org/10.2307/2388378
- Mayr, E. (1942). Systematics and the Origin of Species from, the Viewpoint of a Zoologist. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Mayr, E. (1963). Animal Species and Evolution. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- McKenna, A., Hanna, M., Banks, E., Sivachenko, A., Cibulskis, K., Kernytsky, A., ... DePristo, M. A. (2010). The Genome Analysis Toolkit: A MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome Research*, 20(9), 1297–1303. https:// doi.org/10.1101/gr.107524.110
- Meisner, J., & Albrechtsen, A. (2018). Inferring population structure and admixture proportions in low-depth NGS data. *Genetics*, 210(2), 719– 731. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.118.301336
- Meyer, M., & Kircher, M. (2010). Illumina sequencing library preparation for highly multiplexed target capture and sequencing. *Cold Spring Harbor Protocols*, 2010(6), pdb.prot5448. https://doi.org/10.1101/ pdb.prot5448
- Miller, S. A., Dykes, D. D., & Polesky, H. F. (1988). A simple salting out procedure for extracting DNA from human nucleated cells. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 16(3), 1215. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/16.3.1215

- Myers, C. W., Daly, J. W., & Malkin, B. (1978). A dangerously toxic new frog (Phyllobates) used by Emberá Indians of western Colombia, with discussion of blowgun fabrication and dart poisoning. Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History, 161, 307–366.
- Norman, J. A., Christidis, L., Joseph, L., Slikas, B., & Alpers, D. (2002). Unravelling a biogeographical knot: Origin of the 'leapfrog' distribution pattern of Australo-Papuan sooty owls (Strigiformes) and logrunners (Passeriformes). Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 269(1505), 2127–2133. https://doi.org/10.1098/ rspb.2002.2136
- Olson, E. C., & Miller, R. L. (1958). Morphological integration. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Pagel, M. (1999). Inferring the historical patterns of biological evolution. *Nature*, 401(6756), 877–884. https://doi.org/10.1038/44766
- Palumbi, S. R., Martin, A., Romano, S., McMillan, W. O., Stice, L., & Grabowski, G. (1991). The simple fool's guide to PCR. Honolulu: Department of Zoology, University of Hawaii.
- Paradis, E., Claude, J., & Strimmer, K. (2004). APE: Analyses of phylogenetics and evolution in R language. *Bioinformatics*, 20(2), 289–290. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btg412
- Petersen, K. R., Streett, D. A., Gerritsen, A. T., Hunter, S. S., & Settles, M. L. (2015). Super deduper, fast PCR duplicate detection in fastq files. In Proceedings of the 6th ACM Conference on Bioinformatics, Computational Biology and Health Informatics - BCB '15 (pp. 491-492). New York, New York, USA: ACM Press. 10.1145/2808719.2811568.
- Petkova, D., Novembre, J., & Stephens, M. (2015). Visualizing spatial population structure with estimated effective migration surfaces. *Nature Genetics*, 48(1), 94–100. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3464
- Pickrell, J. K., & Pritchard, J. K. (2012). Inference of population splits and mixtures from genome-wide allele frequency data. *PLoS Genetics*, 8(11), e1002967. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.10029 67.s016
- Pinheiro, J., Bates, D., DebRoy, S., & Sarkar, D. (2017). nlme: Linear and Nonlinear Mixed Effects models. R Package Version 3.1-131.
- Pough, F. H., & Taigen, T. L. (1990). Metabolic correlates of the foraging and social behaviour of dart-poison frogs. *Animal Behaviour*, 39(1), 145–155. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-3472(05)80734-1
- Purcell, S., Neale, B., Todd-Brown, K., Thomas, L., Ferreira, M. A. R., Bender, D., ... Sham, P. C. (2007). PLINK: A tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. *The American Journal of Human Genetics*, 81(3), 559–575. https://doi. org/10.1086/519795
- Quek, S.-P., Counterman, B. A., Albuquerque de Moura, P., Cardoso, M. Z., Marshall, C. R., McMillan, W. O., & Kronforst, M. R. (2010). Dissecting comimetic radiations in Heliconius reveals divergent histories of convergent butterflies. *Proceedings of the National Academy* of Sciences of the United States of America, 107(16), 7365-7370. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0911572107
- Rambaut, A., & Drummond, A. J. (2009). *Tracer v.* 1.5. Available from: http://Beast.Bio.Ed.Ac.Uk/Tracer.
- Ramírez, L. F., & Urrego, L. E. (2002). Vegetación holocénica en el delta del río San Juan, Pacífico colombiano. In I. D. Correa, & J. D. Restrepo (Eds.), *Geologia y Oceanografía del Dela del Río San Juan*. Litoral Pacífico Colombiano. (1st ed., pp. 151–169). Medellín: Editorial Universidad EAFIT.
- Rebelo, A. G., & Siegfried, W. R. (1985). Colour and size of flowers in relation to pollination of Erica species. *Oecologia*, 65(4), 584–590. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00379677
- Reguera, S., Zamora-Camacho, F. J., & Moreno-Rueda, G. (2014). The lizard Psammodromus algirus (Squamata: Lacertidae) is darker at high altitudes. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 112(1), 132–141. https://doi.org/10.1111/bij.12250

II FY-MOLECULAR ECOLOGY

- Remsen, J. V. (1984). High incidence of "leapfrog" pattern of geographic variation in andean birds: Implications for the speciation process. *Science*, 224(4645), 171–173. https://doi.org/10.1126/scien ce.224.4645.171
- Reynolds, J., Weir, B. S., & Cockerham, C. C. (1983). Estimation of the coancestry coefficient: Basis for a short-term genetic distance. *Genetics*, 105(3), 767–779. https://doi.org/10.1534/genet ics.103.025387
- Reynolds, R. G., & Fitzpatrick, B. M. (2007). Assortative mating in poison-dart frogs based on an ecologically important trait. *Evolution*, 61(9), 2253–2259. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2007.00174.x
- Richmond, J. Q., & Reeder, T. W. (2002). Evidence for parallel ecological speciation in sincid lizards of the Eumeces skiltonianus species group (Squamata: Scincidae). Evolution, 56(7), 1498–1513. https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.0014-3820.2002.tb01461.x
- Rios, E., & Álvarez-Castañeda, S. T. (2007). Environmental responses to altitudinal gradients and subspecific validity in pocket gophers (Thomomys bottae) from Baja California Sur, Mexico. Journal of Mammalogy, 88(4), 926–934. https://doi. org/10.1644/06-mamm-a-226r1.1
- Ronquist, F., Teslenko, M., van der Mark, P., Ayres, D. L., Darling, A., Höhna, S., ... Huelsenbeck, J. P. (2012). MrBayes 3.2: Efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. *Systematic Biology*, 61(3), 539–542. https://doi. org/10.1093/sysbio/sys029
- Santos, J. C., Baquero, M., Barrio-Amorós, C., Coloma, L. A., Erdtmann, L. K., Lima, A. P., & Cannatella, D. C. (2014). Aposematism increases acoustic diversification and speciation in poison frogs. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 281(1796), 20141761. https:// doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2014.1761
- Santos, J. C., & Cannatella, D. C. (2011). Phenotypic integration emerges from aposematism and scale in poison frogs. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 108(15), 6175–6180. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1010952108
- Santos, J. C., Coloma, L. A., Summers, K., Caldwell, J. P., Ree, R., & Cannatella, D. C. (2009). Amazonian amphibian diversity is primarily derived from late miocene andean lineages. *PLoS Biology*, 7(3), e56. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1000056.st014
- Schliep, K. P. (2011). phangorn: Phylogenetic analysis in R. Bioinformatics, 27(4), 592–593. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq706
- Sheppard, P. M., Turner, J. R. G., Brown, K. S., Benson, W. W., & Singer, M. C. (1985). Genetics and the evolution of Muellerian mimicry in Heliconius butterflies. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 308(1137), 433–610. https://doi.org/10.1098/ rstb.1985.0066
- Silverstone, P. A. (1976). A revision of the poison-arrow frogs of the genus Phyllobates Bibron in Sagra (Family Dendrobatidae). Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County, Science Bulletin, 27, 1–53.
- Simpson, G. G. (1953). The major features of evolution. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Simpson, J. T., Wong, K., Jackman, S. D., Schein, J. E., Jones, S. J. M., & Birol, I. (2009). ABySS: A parallel assembler for short read sequence data. *Genome Research*, 19(6), 1117–1123. https://doi.org/10.1101/ gr.089532.108
- Skotte, L., Korneliussen, T. S., & Albrechtsen, A. (2013). Estimating individual admixture proportions from next generation sequencing data. *Genetics*, 195(3), 693–702. https://doi.org/10.1534/genet ics.113.154138
- Slater, G. S. C., & Birney, E. (2005). Automated generation of heuristics for biological sequence comparison. BMC Bioinformatics, 6, 31. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-6-31

Smith, A. F., Hubley, R., & Green, P. (2013). RepeatMasker Open-4.0.

- Summers, K., & Clough, M. E. (2001). The evolution of coloration and toxicity in the poison frog family (Dendrobatidae). Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 98(11), 6227-6232. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.101134898.
- Summers, K., Symula, R., Clough, M., & Cronin, T. (1999). Visual mate choice in poison frogs. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 266(1434), 2141–2145. https://doi.org/10.1098/ rspb.1999.0900
- Sun, Y.-B., Xiong, Z.-J., Xiang, X.-Y., Liu, S.-P., Zhou, W.-W., Tu, X.-L., ... Zhang, Y.-P. (2015). Whole-genome sequence of the Tibetan frog Nanorana parkeri and the comparative evolution of tetrapod genomes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 112(11), E1257–E1262. https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.1501764112
- Toon, A., Austin, J. J., Dolman, G., Pedler, L., & Joseph, L. (2012). Evolution of arid zone birds in Australia: Leapfrog distribution patterns and mesic-arid connections in quail-thrush (Cinclosoma, Cinclosomatidae). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 62(1), 286–295. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ympev.2011.09.026
- Tullberg, B. S., Merilaita, S., & Wiklund, C. (2005). Aposematism and crypsis combined as a result of distance dependence: Functional versatility of the colour pattern in the swallowtail butterfly larva. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 272(1570), 1315–1321. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2005.3079
- Turner, J. R., Johnson, M. S., & Eanes, W. F. (1979). Contrasted modes of evolution in the same genome: Allozymes and adaptive change in Heliconius. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 76(4), 1924–1928. https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.76.4.1924
- Turner, T. L., Hahn, M. W., & Nuzhdin, S. V. (2005). Genomic islands of speciation in Anopheles gambiae. *PLoS Biology*, 3(9), e285. https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0030285.st001
- Twomey, E., Vestergaard, J. S., & Summers, K. (2014). Reproductive isolation related to mimetic divergence in the poison frog Ranitomeya imitator. Nature Communications, 5, 4749. https://doi.org/10.1038/ ncomms5749
- Urrego, L. E., Molina, L. A., Urrego, D. H., & Ramírez, L. F. (2006). Holocene space-time succession of the Middle Atrato wetlands, Chocó biogeographic region, Colombia. *Palaeogeography*, *Palaeoclimatology*, *Palaeoecology*, 234(1), 45-61. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2005.10.018
- van Etten, J. (2017). R Package gdistance: Distances and routes on geographical grids. Journal of Statistical Software, 76(13), 1–21. https:// doi.org/10.18637/jss.v076.i13
- Vanzolini, P. E., & Williams, E. E. (1970). South american anoles: The geographic differentiation and evolution of the Anolis chrysolepis species group (Sauria, Iguanidae). Arquivos De Zoologia, 19(1–2), 1–258. https://doi.org/10.11606/issn.2176-7793.v19i3-4p125-298
- Vieira, F. G., Lassalle, F., Korneliussen, T. S., & Fumagalli, M. (2016). Improving the estimation of genetic distances from next-generation sequencing data. *Biological Journal of Linnean Society*, 117(1), 139– 149. https://doi.org/10.1111/bij.12511
- Wang, I. J. (2013). Examining the full effects of landscape heterogeneity on spatial genetic variation: A multiple matrix regression approach for quantifying geographic and ecological isolation. *Evolution*, 67(12), 3403–3411. https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.12134
- Weir, B. S., & Cockerham, C. C. (1984). Estimating F-statistics for the analysis of population structure. *Evolution*, 38(6), 1358. https://doi. org/10.2307/2408641
- Widmer, A., Lötters, S., & Jungfer, K. H. (2000). A molecular phylogenetic analysis of the neotropical dart-poison frog genus Phyllobates (Amphibia: Dendrobatidae). *Die Naturwissenschaften*, 87(12), 559– 562. https://doi.org/10.1007/s001140050

WILF

- Wu, C.-I. (2001). The genic view of the process of speciation. Journal of Evolutionary Biology, 14(6), 851–865. https://doi. org/10.1046/j.1420-9101.2001.00335.x
- Yang, Y., Richards-Zawacki, C. L., Devar, A., & Dugas, M. B. (2016). Poison frog color morphs express assortative mate preferences in allopatry but not sympatry. *Evolution*, 70(12), 2778–2788. https://doi. org/10.1111/evo.13079

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section.

How to cite this article: Márquez R, Linderoth TP, Mejía-Vargas D, Nielsen R, Amézquita A, Kronforst MR. Divergence, gene flow and the origin of leapfrog geographic distributions: The history of colour pattern variation in *Phyllobates* poison-dart frogs. *Mol Ecol.* 2020;29:3702–3719. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/</u>mec.15598